# Graphical comparison of imprecise methods

Marco Cattaneo Department of Statistics, LMU Munich

WPMSIIP 2012, Munich, Germany 12 September 2012

imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter
- examples:

| imprecise method | imprecision parameter(s) |
|------------------|--------------------------|
|------------------|--------------------------|

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter
- examples:

| imprecise method           | imprecision parameter(s)  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| confidence interval/region | confidence level $1-lpha$ |

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter
- examples:

| imprecise method                            | imprecision parameter(s)  |
|---|---------------------------|
| confidence interval/region                  | confidence level $1-lpha$ |
| likelihood-based confidence interval/region | cutoff point $eta$        |

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter
- examples:

| imprecise method                            | imprecision parameter(s)     |
|---|------------------------------|
| confidence interval/region                  | confidence level $1-lpha$    |
| likelihood-based confidence interval/region | cutoff point $eta$           |
| IDM-based credal classifier                 | number of hidden instances s |

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter
- examples:

| imprecise method                                   | imprecision parameter(s)            |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| confidence interval/region                         | confidence level $1 - \alpha$       |
| likelihood-based confidence interval/region        | cutoff point $\beta$                |
| IDM-based credal classifier                        | number of hidden instances <i>s</i> |
| arepsilon-contaminated IDM-based credal classifier | s and $arepsilon$                   |

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter
- examples:

| imprecise method                                   | imprecision parameter(s)      |
|--|-------------------------------|
| confidence interval/region                         | confidence level $1 - \alpha$ |
| likelihood-based confidence interval/region        | cutoff point $\beta$          |
| IDM-based credal classifier                        | number of hidden instances s  |
| arepsilon-contaminated IDM-based credal classifier | s and $arepsilon$             |
| likelihood-based credal classifier                 | cutoff point $\beta$          |

- imprecise methods are methods yielding set-valued results
- the amount of imprecision of the methods is usually controlled by (at least) a parameter
- examples:

| imprecise method                                   | imprecision parameter(s)              |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| confidence interval/region                         | confidence level $1 - \alpha$         |
| likelihood-based confidence interval/region        | cutoff point $\beta$                  |
| IDM-based credal classifier                        | number of hidden instances s          |
| arepsilon-contaminated IDM-based credal classifier | s and $arepsilon$                     |
| likelihood-based credal classifier                 | cutoff point $\beta$                  |
| likelihood-based imprecise regression              | cutoff point $eta$ (and $arepsilon$ ) |

it is usually possible to evaluate numerically two qualities of imprecise methods: accuracy and (im)precision

- it is usually possible to evaluate numerically two qualities of imprecise methods: accuracy and (im)precision
- reducing these two dimensions of the numerical evaluation to a single one is problematic

- it is usually possible to evaluate numerically two qualities of imprecise methods: accuracy and (im)precision
- reducing these two dimensions of the numerical evaluation to a single one is problematic

| imprecise method | accuracy | imprecision |
|------------------|----------|-------------|
|------------------|----------|-------------|

- it is usually possible to evaluate numerically two qualities of imprecise methods: accuracy and (im)precision
- reducing these two dimensions of the numerical evaluation to a single one is problematic

| imprecise method           | accuracy             | imprecision            |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| confidence interval/region | coverage probability | expected length/volume |

- it is usually possible to evaluate numerically two qualities of imprecise methods: accuracy and (im)precision
- reducing these two dimensions of the numerical evaluation to a single one is problematic

| imprecise method           | accuracy             | imprecision               |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| confidence interval/region | coverage probability | expected length/volume    |
| credal classifier          | (global) accuracy    | average number of classes |

- it is usually possible to evaluate numerically two qualities of imprecise methods: accuracy and (im)precision
- reducing these two dimensions of the numerical evaluation to a single one is problematic

| imprecise method           | accuracy             | imprecision               |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| confidence interval/region | coverage probability | expected length/volume    |
| credal classifier          | (global) accuracy    | average number of classes |
| imprecise regression       | coverage probability | expected volume           |

# graphical comparison

for each imprecise method, plot the pair (accuracy, precision) as a function of the imprecision parameter(s)

# graphical comparison

- for each imprecise method, plot the pair (accuracy, precision) as a function of the imprecision parameter(s)
- example: confidence interval for the mean of 10 normally/t<sub>3</sub> distributed observations (with unknown variance σ<sup>2</sup>)

# graphical comparison

- for each imprecise method, plot the pair (accuracy, precision) as a function of the imprecision parameter(s)
- example: confidence interval for the mean of 10 normally/t<sub>3</sub> distributed observations (with unknown variance σ<sup>2</sup>)

