Linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression (LIR) with interval data

> Andrea Wiencierz and Marco Cattaneo Department of Statistics, LMU Munich

WPMSIIP 5, LMU Munich, Germany September 11, 2012





- $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$
- simple linear regression:
   Y<sub>i</sub> = f(X<sub>i</sub>) = a + bX<sub>i</sub>



- $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$
- simple linear regression:
   Y<sub>i</sub> = f(X<sub>i</sub>) = a + bX<sub>i</sub>



- $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$
- simple linear regression:
  - $Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$
- residuals:

$$R_{f,i} = |Y_i - f(X_i)|$$



- $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$
- simple linear regression:
  - $Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$
- residuals:
  - $R_{f,i} = |Y_i f(X_i)|$
- Ioss function *p*-quantile:

$$I_p(f) = Q_{R_f,p}, p \in (0,1)$$



- $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$
- simple linear regression:
  - $Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$
- residuals:
  - $R_{f,i} = |Y_i f(X_i)|$
- loss function *p*-quantile:

 $I_p(f)=Q_{R_f,p}, p\in(0,1)$ 

 account for statistical uncertainty:

 $C_{f,p,\beta}$  likelihood-based confidence region with cutoff point  $\beta \in (0,1)$ 



- $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$
- simple linear regression:
  - $Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$
- residuals:
  - $R_{f,i} = |Y_i f(X_i)|$
- loss function *p*-quantile:
  - $I_p(f) = Q_{R_f,p}, p \in (0,1)$
- account for statistical uncertainty:

 $C_{f,p,\beta}$  likelihood-based confidence region with cutoff point  $\beta \in (0,1)$ 

 result U: set of plausible functions





•  $(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \ldots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*)$ 6 where  $X_i^* = [\underline{X}_i, \overline{X}_i]$ 5 and  $Y_i^* = [\underline{Y}_i, \overline{Y}_i]$ • with  $V_i^* = X_i^* \times Y_i^*$ 4  $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$ Y 3 such that for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ Π 2  $P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon$ ٦Ū 1 0

-2

0

2

6

4

Х

•  $(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \dots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*)$ where  $X_i^* = [\underline{X}_i, \overline{X}_i]$ and  $Y_i^* = [\underline{Y}_i, \overline{Y}_i]$ • with  $V_i^* = X_i^* \times Y_i^*$   $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$ such that for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$   $P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \le \varepsilon$ • simple linear regression:

$$Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$$



- $(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \dots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*)$ where  $X_i^* = [\underline{X}_i, \overline{X}_i]$ and  $Y_i^* = [\underline{Y}_i, \overline{Y}_i]$ • with  $V_i^* = X_i^* \times Y_i^*$   $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$ such that for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  $P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon$
- simple linear regression:

$$Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$$



- $(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \dots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*)$ where  $X_i^* = [\underline{X}_i, \overline{X}_i]$ and  $Y_i^* = [\underline{Y}_i, \overline{Y}_i]$ • with  $V_i^* = X_i^* \times Y_i^*$   $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$ such that for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$   $P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon$ • simple linear regression:
- $Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$ •  $C_{f,p,\beta,\varepsilon}$



- $(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \dots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*)$ where  $X_i^* = [\underline{X}_i, \overline{X}_i]$ and  $Y_i^* = [\underline{Y}_i, \overline{Y}_i]$ • with  $V_i^* = X_i^* \times Y_i^*$   $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$ such that for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$   $P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon$ • simple linear regression:
  - $Y_i = f(X_i) = a + b X_i$
- C<sub>f</sub>, p, β, ε
- result U: set of plausible functions



•  $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ 

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \le \varepsilon\}, \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$
  
•  $Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \\ X \mapsto a + b X \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ 

- $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$
- $Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$
- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function for the p-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F

- $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$
- $Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$
- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function for the p-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F

• 
$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$$
,  $\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$ 

- $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$
- $Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$
- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function for the p-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F

• 
$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$$
,  $\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$ 

• 
$$0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon) \rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon) \rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$$

- $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$
- $Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$
- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function for the p-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F

• 
$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$$
,  $\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$ 

- $0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon)\rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon)\rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$
- $C_f = [\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}]$ , values of  $\underline{k}, \overline{k} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  depend on  $n, p, \beta, \varepsilon$

- $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$
- $Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$
- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function for the p-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F

• 
$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$$
,  $\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$ 

- $0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon) \rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon) \rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$
- $C_f = [\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}]$ , values of  $\underline{k}, \overline{k} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  depend on  $n, p, \beta, \varepsilon$
- LIR result  $\mathcal{U} = \{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM} \}$ , where  $\overline{q}_{LRM} = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}$

- $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$
- $Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$
- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function for the p-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F

• 
$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$$
,  $\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y)\in[\underline{x}_i,\overline{x}_i]\times[\underline{y}_i,\overline{y}_i]} |y - f(x)|$ 

- $0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon) \rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon) \rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$
- $C_f = [\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}]$ , values of  $\underline{k}, \overline{k} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  depend on  $n, p, \beta, \varepsilon$
- LIR result  $\mathcal{U} = \{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM} \}$ , where  $\overline{q}_{LRM} = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression. Int. J. Approx. Reasoning 53. 1137-1154.

robustness:

• robustness: breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n-\overline{k}\}}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1-p\} - \varepsilon$ 

- robustness: breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n-\overline{k}\}}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1-p\} \varepsilon$
- exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

- robustness: breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n-\overline{k}\}}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1-p\} \varepsilon$
- exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

$$P(\mathcal{C}_f \ni Q_{R_f}) \ge \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} {n \choose k} \ p^k \ (1-p)^{n-k} &arepsilon = 0 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} {n \choose k} \ (p+arepsilon)^k \ (1-(p+arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p \le 0.5 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} {n \choose k} \ (p-arepsilon)^k \ (1-(p-arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p > 0.5 \end{array} 
ight.$$

- robustness: breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n-\overline{k}\}}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1-p\} \varepsilon$
- exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

$$P(\mathcal{C}_f \ni Q_{\mathcal{R}_f}) \geq \left\{ egin{array}{c} \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, p^k \, (1-p)^{n-k} &arepsilon = 0 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, (p+arepsilon)^k \, (1-(p+arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p \leq 0.5 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, (p-arepsilon)^k \, (1-(p-arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p > 0.5 \end{array} 
ight.$$

• confidence level of the result  $\mathcal{U}$ :

- robustness: breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n-\overline{k}\}}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1-p\} \varepsilon$
- exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

$$P(\mathcal{C}_f \ni Q_{\mathcal{R}_f}) \geq \left\{ egin{array}{c} \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, p^k \, (1-p)^{n-k} &arepsilon = 0 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, (p+arepsilon)^k \, (1-(p+arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p \leq 0.5 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, (p-arepsilon)^k \, (1-(p-arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p > 0.5 \end{array} 
ight.$$

• confidence level of the result  $\mathcal{U}$ : We don't know yet.

- robustness: breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n-\overline{k}\}}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1-p\} \varepsilon$
- exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

$$P(\mathcal{C}_f \ni Q_{\mathcal{R}_f}) \geq \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} {n \choose k} \ p^k \ (1-p)^{n-k} &arepsilon = 0 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} {n \choose k} \ (p+arepsilon)^k \ (1-(p+arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p \leq 0.5 \ \displaystyle\sum_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} {n \choose k} \ (p-arepsilon)^k \ (1-(p-arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon > 0, p > 0.5 \end{array} 
ight.$$

- confidence level of the result  $\mathcal{U}$ : We don't know yet.
- consistency of  $\mathcal{U}$ :

- robustness: breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n-\overline{k}\}}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1-p\} \varepsilon$
- exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_f 
i Q_{R_f}) \geq \left\{ egin{aligned} &\sum\limits_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, p^k \, (1-p)^{n-k} &arepsilon &arepsilon & 0 \ &\sum\limits_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, (p+arepsilon)^k \, (1-(p+arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon & > 0, p \leq 0.5 \ &\sum\limits_{k=\underline{k}+1}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} \, (p-arepsilon)^k \, (1-(p-arepsilon))^{n-k} &arepsilon & > 0, p > 0.5 \end{aligned} 
ight. \end{aligned}$$

- confidence level of the result U: We don't know yet.
- consistency of  $\mathcal{U}$ : What does that mean?  $\rightarrow$  tomorrow

## Implementation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR

• aim: determine the set of undominated functions  $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}\}$ 

### Implementation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR

- aim: determine the set of undominated functions  $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}\}$
- 1st step: find  $\overline{q}_{LRM}$

### Implementation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR

- aim: determine the set of undominated functions  $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} :$   $\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}\}$ • 1st step: find  $\overline{q}_{LRM}$ •  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  (blue dashed lines) is the thinnest band containing at least
  - $\overline{k}$  imprecise data
  - here  $\beta = 0.8$ , p = 0.6, n = 17, and  $\overline{k} = 12$



• some of the included  $\overline{k}$  imprecise observations touch the borders of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  in 3 different points



- some of the included  $\overline{k}$  imprecise observations touch the borders of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  in 3 different points
- *b<sub>LRM</sub>* can be any slope determined by the corresponding corner points of 2 imprecise data or 0



- some of the included  $\overline{k}$  imprecise observations touch the borders of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  in 3 different points
- b<sub>LRM</sub> can be any slope determined by the corresponding corner points of 2 imprecise data or 0
- $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of  $4\binom{n}{2} + 1$  possible values for  $b_{LRM}$



- some of the included  $\overline{k}$  imprecise observations touch the borders of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  in 3 different points
- *b<sub>LRM</sub>* can be any slope determined by the corresponding corner points of 2 imprecise data or 0
- $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of  $4\binom{n}{2} + 1$  possible values for  $b_{LRM}$
- for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ determine  $a_b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\overline{r}_{f_{a_b,b},(\overline{k})}$  is minimal



for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\underline{z}_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \underline{y}_i - b \overline{x}_i \,, & b > 0 \\ \underline{y}_i - b \underline{x}_i \,, & b \le 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{z}_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \overline{y}_i - b \underline{x}_i \,, & b > 0 \\ \overline{y}_i - b \overline{x}_i \,, & b \le 0 \end{array} \right.$$

for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ 

• consider transformed data  $z_i^* = [\underline{z}_i, \overline{z}_i]$  with

$$\underline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \underline{y}_i - b \, \overline{x}_i \,, & b > 0 \\ \underline{y}_i - b \, \underline{x}_i \,, & b \leq 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$
 and  $\overline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \overline{y}_i - b \, \underline{x}_i \,, & b > 0 \\ \overline{y}_i - b \, \overline{x}_i \,, & b \leq 0 \end{array} 
ight.$ 

• determine the shortest of the  $n - \overline{k} + 1$  intervals of the form  $(\overline{z}_{[j]} - \underline{z}_{(j)})$ , where  $\overline{z}_{[j]}$  is the  $\overline{k}$ th smallest value among the  $\overline{z}_{b,i}$  such that  $\underline{z}_{b,i} \ge \overline{z}_{b,(j)}$ 

for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\underline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \underline{y}_i - b\,\overline{x}_i\,, & b > 0 \ \underline{y}_i - b\,\underline{x}_i\,, & b \le 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$
 and  $\overline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \overline{y}_i - b\,\underline{x}_i\,, & b > 0 \ \overline{y}_i - b\,\overline{x}_i\,, & b \le 0 \end{array} 
ight.$ 

- determine the shortest of the  $n \overline{k} + 1$  intervals of the form  $(\overline{z}_{[j]} \underline{z}_{(j)})$ , where  $\overline{z}_{[j]}$  is the  $\overline{k}$ th smallest value among the  $\overline{z}_{b,i}$  such that  $\underline{z}_{b,i} \ge \overline{z}_{b,(j)}$
- the length of the shortest interval corresponds to the bandwidth

for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\underline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \underline{y}_i - b\,\overline{x}_i\,, & b > 0 \ \underline{y}_i - b\,\underline{x}_i\,, & b \le 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$
 and  $\overline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \overline{y}_i - b\,\underline{x}_i\,, & b > 0 \ \overline{y}_i - b\,\overline{x}_i\,, & b \le 0 \end{array} 
ight.$ 

- determine the shortest of the  $n \overline{k} + 1$  intervals of the form  $(\overline{z}_{[j]} \underline{z}_{(j)})$ , where  $\overline{z}_{[j]}$  is the  $\overline{k}$ th smallest value among the  $\overline{z}_{b,i}$  such that  $\underline{z}_{b,i} \ge \overline{z}_{b,(j)}$
- the length of the shortest interval corresponds to the bandwidth
- the corresponding intercept a<sub>b</sub> is given by the midpoint of this interval

for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\underline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \underline{y}_i - b\,\overline{x}_i\,, & b > 0 \ \underline{y}_i - b\,\underline{x}_i\,, & b \le 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$
 and  $\overline{z}_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} \overline{y}_i - b\,\underline{x}_i\,, & b > 0 \ \overline{y}_i - b\,\overline{x}_i\,, & b \le 0 \end{array} 
ight.$ 

- determine the shortest of the  $n \overline{k} + 1$  intervals of the form  $(\overline{z}_{[j]} \underline{z}_{(j)})$ , where  $\overline{z}_{[j]}$  is the  $\overline{k}$ th smallest value among the  $\overline{z}_{b,i}$  such that  $\underline{z}_{b,i} \geq \overline{z}_{b,(j)}$
- the length of the shortest interval corresponds to the bandwidth
- the corresponding intercept a<sub>b</sub> is given by the midpoint of this interval

$$\Rightarrow \quad \overline{q}_{LRM} = \frac{1}{2} \min_{(b,j) \in \mathcal{B} \times \{1,\dots,n-\overline{k}+1\}} (\overline{z}_{b,[j]} - \underline{z}_{b,(j)})$$

• step 2: determine  ${\cal U}$ 





- if  $f \in U$ , then  $\overline{B}_{f,\overline{q}_{LRM}}$ intersects at least  $\underline{k} + 1$ imprecise data
- here <u>k</u> = 8
- for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ determine set  $A_b \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that  $\{f_{a,b} : a \in A_b\} \subset \mathcal{U}$





$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{U} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-\underline{k}} [\underline{z}_{b,(\underline{k}+j)} - \overline{q}_{LRM}, \, \overline{z}_{b,(j)} + \overline{q}_{LRM}] \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{U} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-\underline{k}} [\underline{z}_{b,(\underline{k}+j)} - \overline{q}_{LRM}, \overline{z}_{b,(j)} + \overline{q}_{LRM}] \right\}$$

•  $\mathcal{U}$  contains all functions that are plausible relations of X and Y in the light of the imprecise observations

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{U} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-\underline{k}} [\underline{z}_{b,(\underline{k}+j)} - \overline{q}_{LRM}, \overline{z}_{b,(j)} + \overline{q}_{LRM}] \right\}$$

- *U* contains all functions that are plausible relations of *X* and *Y* in the light of the imprecise observations
- exact algorithm for the simple linear LIR analysis with interval data

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{U} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-\underline{k}} [\underline{z}_{b,(\underline{k}+j)} - \overline{q}_{LRM}, \overline{z}_{b,(j)} + \overline{q}_{LRM}] \right\}$$

- *U* contains all functions that are plausible relations of *X* and *Y* in the light of the imprecise observations
- exact algorithm for the simple linear LIR analysis with interval data
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{U} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-\underline{k}} [\underline{z}_{b,(\underline{k}+j)} - \overline{q}_{LRM}, \, \overline{z}_{b,(j)} + \overline{q}_{LRM}] \right\}$$

- *U* contains all functions that are plausible relations of *X* and *Y* in the light of the imprecise observations
- exact algorithm for the simple linear LIR analysis with interval data
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). On the implementation of LIR: the case of simple linear regression with interval data. Technical Report 127. Department of Statistics. LMU Munich.

- linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression
- R package version 1.0-2 is available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/

- linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression
- R package version 1.0-2 is available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/
- plot 2-dimensional interval data set

- linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression
- R package version 1.0-2 is available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/
- plot 2-dimensional interval data set
- s.linlir function implements the exact algorithm

- linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression
- R package version 1.0-2 is available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/
- plot 2-dimensional interval data set
- s.linlir function implements the exact algorithm
- further tools to summarize and visualize results

• 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations



- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$



- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$
- $\underline{k} = 238$ ,  $\overline{k} = 276$



- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$
- $\underline{k} = 238$ ,  $\overline{k} = 276$
- obtained set of undominated functions





- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$
- $\underline{k} = 238$ ,  $\overline{k} = 276$
- obtained set of undominated functions
- obtained set of parameters

• generalize algorithm to multiple linear regression

- generalize algorithm to multiple linear regression
- supplement R package

- generalize algorithm to multiple linear regression
- supplement R package
- further investigate statistical properties

- generalize algorithm to multiple linear regression
- supplement R package
- further investigate statistical properties
- study LIR for more general regression functions