Prior-data conflict in likelihood inference

Marco Cattaneo Department of Statistics, LMU Munich cattaneo@stat.uni-muenchen.de

> WPMSIIP 2010, Durham, UK 7 September 2010

 in likelihood inference, prior beliefs can be expressed as a prior likelihood function, which is interpreted as if it were induced from past (virtual) data:

$$\underbrace{lik_{posterior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{all data})} = \underbrace{lik_{prior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{virtual data})} \underbrace{lik_{data}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{actual data})}$$

 in likelihood inference, prior beliefs can be expressed as a prior likelihood function, which is interpreted as if it were induced from past (virtual) data:

$$\underbrace{lik_{posterior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{all data})} = \underbrace{lik_{prior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{virtual data})} \underbrace{lik_{data}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{actual data})}$$

example with Bernoulli data: "prior model expects quite certainly 5 successes out of 20, data gives 18 successes out of 20";

 in likelihood inference, prior beliefs can be expressed as a prior likelihood function, which is interpreted as if it were induced from past (virtual) data:

$$\underbrace{lik_{posterior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{all data})} = \underbrace{lik_{prior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{virtual data})} \underbrace{lik_{data}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{actual data})}$$

example with Bernoulli data: "prior model expects quite certainly 5 successes out of 20, data gives 18 successes out of 20"; for instance:

virtual data:	25	out of	100	(relative frequency: 0.25)
actual data:	18	out of	20	(relative frequency: 0.90)

 in likelihood inference, prior beliefs can be expressed as a prior likelihood function, which is interpreted as if it were induced from past (virtual) data:

$$\underbrace{lik_{posterior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{all data})} = \underbrace{lik_{prior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{virtual data})} \underbrace{lik_{data}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{actual data})}$$

example with Bernoulli data: "prior model expects quite certainly 5 successes out of 20, data gives 18 successes out of 20"; for instance:

virtual data:	25	out of	100	(relative frequency: 0.25)
actual data:	18	out of	20	(relative frequency: 0.90)

if the observations are assumed to be exchangeable, then the above situation cannot be distinguished from the following one:

virtual data:	36	out of	100	(relative frequency: 0.36)
actual data:	7	out of	20	(relative frequency: 0.35)

 in likelihood inference, prior beliefs can be expressed as a prior likelihood function, which is interpreted as if it were induced from past (virtual) data:

$$\underbrace{lik_{posterior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{all data})} = \underbrace{lik_{prior}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{virtual data})} \underbrace{lik_{data}(\theta)}_{P_{\theta}(\text{actual data})}$$

example with Bernoulli data: "prior model expects quite certainly 5 successes out of 20, data gives 18 successes out of 20"; for instance:

virtual data:	25	out of	100	(relative frequency: 0.25)
actual data:	18	out of	20	(relative frequency: 0.90)

if the observations are assumed to be exchangeable, then the above situation cannot be distinguished from the following one:

virtual data:	36	out of	100	(relative frequency: 0.36)
actual data:	7	out of	20	(relative frequency: 0.35)

that is, likelihood inference cannot react to prior-data conflict when exchangeability is assumed

however, likelihood inference can react to prior-data conflict when the exchangeability assumption is relaxed

- however, likelihood inference can react to prior-data conflict when the exchangeability assumption is relaxed
- in the example with Bernoulli data, we can assume that the probabilities of success for the virtual and actual observations are p and q, respectively, without assuming that p and q are necessarily equal:

 $\textit{lik}(p, \pmb{q}) \propto p^{25} \, (1-p)^{75} \, f(p, \pmb{q}) \, \pmb{q}^{18} \, (1-\pmb{q})^2 \qquad \text{for all } (p, \pmb{q}) \in [0, 1]^2,$

where f describes the belief about the similarity of p and q;

- however, likelihood inference can react to prior-data conflict when the exchangeability assumption is relaxed
- in the example with Bernoulli data, we can assume that the probabilities of success for the virtual and actual observations are p and q, respectively, without assuming that p and q are necessarily equal:

$$\textit{lik}(p, \pmb{q}) \propto p^{25} \, (1-p)^{75} \, f(p, \pmb{q}) \, \pmb{q}^{18} \, (1-\pmb{q})^2 \qquad \text{for all } (p, \pmb{q}) \in [0, 1]^2,$$

where f describes the belief about the similarity of p and q; for instance:

$$f(p, q) = \exp\left(-\alpha \left| \operatorname{logit} p - \operatorname{logit} q \right|\right)$$
 for all $(p, q) \in [0, 1]^2$,

with the constant $\alpha \in [0, +\infty]$ describing the the strength of the belief $(\alpha = 0 \text{ means no assumption}, \alpha = +\infty \text{ means } p = q)$

- however, likelihood inference can react to prior-data conflict when the exchangeability assumption is relaxed
- in the example with Bernoulli data, we can assume that the probabilities of success for the virtual and actual observations are p and q, respectively, without assuming that p and q are necessarily equal:

$$\textit{lik}(p, m{q}) \propto p^{25} \, (1-p)^{75} \, f(p, m{q}) \, m{q}^{18} \, (1-m{q})^2 \qquad ext{for all } (p, m{q}) \in [0, 1]^2,$$

where f describes the belief about the similarity of p and q; for instance:

$$f(p, \mathbf{q}) = \exp\left(-lpha \left| \operatorname{logit} p - \operatorname{logit} \mathbf{q} \right|
ight) \qquad ext{for all } (p, \mathbf{q}) \in [0, 1]^2,$$

with the constant $\alpha \in [0, +\infty]$ describing the the strength of the belief $(\alpha = 0 \text{ means no assumption}, \alpha = +\infty \text{ means } p = q)$

► profile likelihood functions for $q \in [0, 1]$ resulting from the original (prior-data conflict) and modified (no prior-data conflict) situations, when $\alpha = 10$:

