# Maxitive Integral of Real-Valued Functions

Marco Cattaneo
Department of Statistics, LMU Munich

IPMU 2014, Montpellier, France 15 July 2014

▶ let  $\mu$  be a capacity on a set  $\Omega$ 

- ▶ let  $\mu$  be a capacity on a set  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0,1]$  such that:
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \mu(\varnothing) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\Omega) = 1$
  - ▶ monotonicity:  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$

- ▶ let  $\mu$  be a capacity on a set  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0,1]$  such that:
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \mu(\varnothing) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\Omega) = 1$
  - ▶ monotonicity:  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  capacities can be interpreted as quantitative descriptions of uncertain belief or information about  $\omega\in\Omega$

- ▶ let  $\mu$  be a capacity on a set  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\mu$  :  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0,1]$  such that:
  - $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$  and  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$
  - ▶ monotonicity:  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$
- ightharpoonup capacities can be interpreted as quantitative descriptions of uncertain belief or information about  $\omega \in \Omega$ , in particular:
  - ▶ additive capacities:  $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$

▶ maxitive capacities:  $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) \vee \mu(B)$ 

- ▶ let  $\mu$  be a capacity on a set  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\mu$  :  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0,1]$  such that:
  - $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$  and  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$
  - ▶ monotonicity:  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$
- ▶ capacities can be interpreted as quantitative descriptions of uncertain belief or information about  $\omega \in \Omega$ , in particular:
  - ▶ additive capacities:  $A \cap B = \varnothing \Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ , e.g., probability measures in Bayesian statistics (de Finetti, 1974–1975; Savage, 1972)
  - ▶ maxitive capacities:  $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) \lor \mu(B)$ , e.g., likelihood ratios in classical statistics (Neyman and Pearson, 1928; Cattaneo, 2013)

- ▶ let  $\mu$  be a capacity on a set  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\mu$  :  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0,1]$  such that:
  - $\blacktriangleright \mu(\varnothing) = 0$  and  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$
  - ▶ monotonicity:  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$
- ightharpoonup capacities can be interpreted as quantitative descriptions of uncertain belief or information about  $\omega \in \Omega$ , in particular:
  - ▶ additive capacities:  $A \cap B = \varnothing \Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ , e.g., probability measures in Bayesian statistics (de Finetti, 1974–1975; Savage, 1972)
  - ▶ maxitive capacities:  $A \cap B = \varnothing \Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) \lor \mu(B)$ , e.g., likelihood ratios in classical statistics (Neyman and Pearson, 1928; Cattaneo, 2013)
- ▶ to avoid trivial results, we assume that  $0 < \mu(C) < 1$  for some  $C \subset \Omega$  (in particular,  $\mu$  cannot be additive and maxitive at the same time)

▶ an extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  (the set of all bounded functions  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ ) is a functional  $F:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(I_A)=\mu(A)$ 

- ▶ an extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  (the set of all bounded functions  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ) is a functional  $F: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(I_A) = \mu(A)$
- when  $\mu$  describes the uncertain belief or information about  $\omega \in \Omega$ , an extension F of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  can be interpreted as an evaluation of the uncertain quantities  $f(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ , and can be used as a basis for decision making when functions  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  represent the uncertain loss (or minus utility) of possible decisions

- ▶ an extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  (the set of all bounded functions  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ ) is a functional  $F:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(I_A)=\mu(A)$
- when  $\mu$  describes the uncertain belief or information about  $\omega \in \Omega$ , an extension F of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal B$  can be interpreted as an evaluation of the uncertain quantities  $f(\omega) \in \mathbb R$ , and can be used as a basis for decision making when functions  $f \in \mathcal B$  represent the uncertain loss (or minus utility) of possible decisions, in particular:
  - ▶ additive extensions: F(f + g) = F(f) + F(g)
  - ▶ maxitive extensions:  $F(f \lor g) = F(f) \lor F(g)$

- ▶ an extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  (the set of all bounded functions  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ) is a functional  $F: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(I_A) = \mu(A)$
- when  $\mu$  describes the uncertain belief or information about  $\omega \in \Omega$ , an extension F of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal B$  can be interpreted as an evaluation of the uncertain quantities  $f(\omega) \in \mathbb R$ , and can be used as a basis for decision making when functions  $f \in \mathcal B$  represent the uncertain loss (or minus utility) of possible decisions, in particular:
  - ▶ additive extensions: F(f+g) = F(f) + F(g),
    - e.g., evaluations by average of consequences
  - ▶ maxitive extensions:  $F(f \lor g) = F(f) \lor F(g)$ ,
    - e.g., worst-case evaluations

- ▶ an extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  (the set of all bounded functions  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ) is a functional  $F: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(I_A) = \mu(A)$
- when  $\mu$  describes the uncertain belief or information about  $\omega \in \Omega$ , an extension F of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal B$  can be interpreted as an evaluation of the uncertain quantities  $f(\omega) \in \mathbb R$ , and can be used as a basis for decision making when functions  $f \in \mathcal B$  represent the uncertain loss (or minus utility) of possible decisions, in particular:
  - ▶ additive extensions: F(f + g) = F(f) + F(g),

e.g., evaluations by average of consequences

- ► maxitive extensions:  $F(f \lor g) = F(f) \lor F(g)$ , e.g., worst-case evaluations
- \_
- ▶ to simplify the results, we consider only extensions *F* that are:
  - ▶ monotonic:  $f \le g \Rightarrow F(f) \le F(g)$
  - ▶ calibrated:  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow F(\alpha I_{\Omega}) = \alpha$
  - null preserving:  $\mu\{f \neq 0\} = 0 \Rightarrow F(f) = 0$

• when  $\mu$  is additive, its **unique** additive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is the integral with respect to  $\mu$  (Bhaskara Rao and Bhaskara Rao, 1983)

- when  $\mu$  is additive, its **unique** additive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is the integral with respect to  $\mu$  (Bhaskara Rao and Bhaskara Rao, 1983), which is also:
  - ▶ scale invariant:  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \implies F(\beta f) = \beta F(f)$

▶ location invariant:  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow F(f + \alpha) = F(f) + \alpha$ 

▶ convex:  $\lambda \in (0,1) \Rightarrow F(\lambda f + (1-\lambda)g) \le \lambda F(f) + (1-\lambda)F(g)$ 

- when  $\mu$  is additive, its **unique** additive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is the integral with respect to  $\mu$  (Bhaskara Rao and Bhaskara Rao, 1983), which is also:
  - scale invariant:  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Rightarrow F(\beta f) = \beta F(f)$ ,

i.e., the unit in which the loss or utility of possible decisions are measured is of no concern in the decision making

▶ location invariant:  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow F(f + \alpha) = F(f) + \alpha$ ,

i.e., the loss or utility of possible decisions can be measured on an interval scale (Stevens, 1946), since the location of the zero point is of no concern in the decision making

► convex:  $\lambda \in (0,1) \Rightarrow F(\lambda f + (1-\lambda)g) \le \lambda F(f) + (1-\lambda)F(g),$ 

i.e., diversification does not increase the risk (Artzner et al., 1999; Föllmer and Schied, 2011)

• when  $\mu$  is additive, its **unique** additive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is the integral with respect to  $\mu$  (Bhaskara Rao and Bhaskara Rao, 1983), which is also:

• scale invariant:  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Rightarrow F(\beta f) = \beta F(f)$ ,

i.e., the unit in which the loss or utility of possible decisions are measured is of no concern in the decision making

▶ location invariant:  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow F(f + \alpha) = F(f) + \alpha$ ,

i.e., the loss or utility of possible decisions can be measured on an interval scale (Stevens, 1946), since the location of the zero point is of no concern in the decision making

► convex:  $\lambda \in (0,1) \Rightarrow F(\lambda f + (1-\lambda)g) \leq \lambda F(f) + (1-\lambda)F(g),$ 

i.e., diversification does not increase the risk (Artzner et al., 1999; Föllmer and Schied, 2011)

• when  $\Omega$  is finite and  $\mu$  is additive, the integral with respect to  $\mu$  is a weighted average:

$$\int f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} f(\omega) \, \mu\{\omega\}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal B$  is **not** unique

- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is **not** unique, but **no** maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - ▶ location invariant
  - convex

- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is **not** unique, but **no** maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - ▶ location invariant
  - CONVEX

- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is **not** unique, but **no** maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - location invariant
  - convex

- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal B$  is **not** unique, but **no** maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - ► location invariant
  - convex
- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its **unique** scale invariant, maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}^+$  (the set of all bounded functions  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ) is the Shilkret integral with respect to  $\mu$ , which is also convex (Shilkret, 1971):

$$\int^{S} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \bigvee_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} x \, \mu\{f > x\}$$

- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal B$  is **not** unique, but **no** maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - ▶ location invariant
  - convex
- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its **unique** scale invariant, maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}^+$  (the set of all bounded functions  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ) is the Shilkret integral with respect to  $\mu$ , which is also convex (Shilkret, 1971):

$$\int^{S} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \bigvee_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} x \, \mu\{f > x\}$$

• when  $\Omega$  is finite and  $\mu$  is maxitive, the Shilkret integral with respect to  $\mu$  is a **weighted maximum**:

$$\int^{\mathsf{S}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \bigvee_{\omega \in \Omega} f(\omega) \, \mu\{\omega\}$$

a unique

- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is **not** unique, but <del>no</del> maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - ▶ location invariant
  - convex

a unique

- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is **not** unique, but **no** maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - location invariant
  - convex
- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its **unique** location invariant, maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is the following integral with respect to  $\mu$ , which is also convex and is therefore called convex integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{X} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \bigvee_{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu\{f > x\} > 0} (x + \mu\{f > x\} - 1)$$

a unique

- when μ is maxitive, its maxitive extension to B is not unique, but no maxitive extension is also:
  - scale invariant
  - location invariant
  - convex
- when  $\mu$  is maxitive, its **unique** location invariant, maxitive extension to  $\mathcal{B}$  is the following integral with respect to  $\mu$ , which is also convex and is therefore called **convex** integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{X} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \bigvee_{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu\{f > x\} > 0} (x + \mu\{f > x\} - 1)$$

when Ω is finite and µ is maxitive, the convex integral with respect to µ is a **penalized maximum**:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\mathsf{X}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \bigvee_{\omega \in \Omega \colon \mu\{\omega\} > 0} (f(\omega) + \mu\{\omega\} - 1)$$

#### references

- Artzner, P., Delbaen, F., Eber, J.-M., and Heath, D. (1999). Coherent measures of risk. *Math. Finance* 9, 203–228.
- Bhaskara Rao, K. P. S., and Bhaskara Rao, M. (1983). *Theory of Charges*. Academic Press.
- Cattaneo, M. (2013). Likelihood decision functions. *Electron. J. Stat.* 7, 2924–2946.
- de Finetti, B. (1974-1975). Theory of Probability. 2 vols. Wiley.
- Föllmer, H., and Schied, A. (2011). *Stochastic Finance*. 3rd edn. De Gruyter.
- Neyman, J., and Pearson, E. S. (1928). On the use and interpretation of certain test criteria for purposes of statistical inference. *Biometrika* 20A, 175–240 and 263–294.
- Savage, L. J. (1972). The Foundations of Statistics. 2nd edn. Dover.
- Shilkret, N. (1971). Maxitive measure and integration. *Indag. Math.* 33, 109–116.
- Stevens, S. S. (1946). On the theory of scales of measurement. *Science* 103, 677–680.