# Linear regression with interval data: the LIR approach

Andrea Wiencierz and Marco E. G. V. Cattaneo Department of Statistics, LMU Munich

> Statistische Woche, Vienna, Austria September 20, 2012

## Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression (LIR)

## Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression (LIR)



## Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression (LIR)

- $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$
- simple linear regression:

$$Y = f(X) = a + b X$$







•  $(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \ldots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*)$ where  $X_i^* = [\underline{X}_i, \overline{X}_i]$ 6 П and  $Y_i^* = [\underline{Y}_i, \overline{Y}_i]$ 5 • with  $V_i^* = X_i^* \times Y_i^*$  $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$ 4 Υ such that for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ 3  $P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) < \varepsilon$ `□ | □ 2 simple linear regression: ۲. Y = f(X) = a + bX1 0 -2 0 2 6 Х

- $(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \dots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*)$ where  $X_i^* = [\underline{X}_i, \overline{X}_i]$ and  $Y_i^* = [\underline{Y}_i, \overline{Y}_i]$ • with  $V_i^* = X_i^* \times Y_i^*$   $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P$ such that for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  $P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon$
- simple linear regression:
   Y = f(X) = a + b X
- p-quantile  $Q_{R_f,p}$ , with  $p \in (0,1)$ , of the distribution of the residuals

$$R_{f,i} = |Y_i - f(X_i)|$$



imprecise residuals:



imprecise residuals:

$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y) \in v_i^*} |y - f(x)|$$
  
$$\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y) \in v_i^*} |y - f(x)|$$

 uncertainty about f: data imprecision and statistical uncertainty



imprecise residuals:

$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y)\in v_i^*} |y - f(x)|$$

$$\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y)\in v_i^*} |y - f(x)|$$

- uncertainty about *f*: data imprecision and statistical uncertainty
- consider  $C_{f,p,\beta,\varepsilon}$ : likelihood-based confidence region for  $Q_{R_{f,p}}$  with cutoff point  $\beta \in (0,1)$



imprecise residuals:

$$\underline{r}_{f,i} = \min_{(x,y) \in v_i^*} |y - f(x)|$$

$$\overline{r}_{i,i} = \sup_{(x,y) \in v_i^*} |y - f(x)|$$

$$\overline{r}_{f,i} = \sup_{(x,y)\in v_i^*} |y - f(x)|$$

- uncertainty about f: data imprecision and statistical uncertainty
- consider  $C_{f,p,\beta,\varepsilon}$ : likelihood-based confidence region for  $Q_{R_{f,p}}$  with cutoff point  $\beta \in (0,1)$
- result U: set of all plausible functions



•  $((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ 

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$

• 
$$Y_i = f(X_i), \ f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+b X \end{array}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$

• 
$$Y_i = f(X_i), \ f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+b X \end{array}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function lik<sub>Q<sub>R<sub>f</sub></sub> of the *p*-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F
</sub>

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$

• 
$$Y_i = f(X_i), \ f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function lik<sub>Q<sub>R<sub>f</sub></sub> of the *p*-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F
  </sub>
- $lik_{Q_{R_{\ell}}}$  is a stepwise constant function with points of discontinuity at:

$$0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon)\rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon)\rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$$

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$

• 
$$Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function lik<sub>Q<sub>R<sub>f</sub></sub> of the *p*-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F
  </sub>
- $lik_{Q_{R_f}}$  is a stepwise constant function with points of discontinuity at:  $0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon)\rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon)\rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$
- $C_f = [\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}]$ , values of  $\underline{k}, \overline{k} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  depend on  $n, p, \beta, \varepsilon$

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$

• 
$$Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function lik<sub>Q<sub>R<sub>f</sub></sub> of the *p*-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F
  </sub>
- $lik_{Q_{R_f}}$  is a stepwise constant function with points of discontinuity at:  $0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon)\rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon)\rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$
- $C_f = [\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}]$ , values of  $\underline{k}, \overline{k} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  depend on  $n, p, \beta, \varepsilon$
- LIR result  $\mathcal{U} = \{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM} \}$ , where  $\overline{q}_{LRM} = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}$

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$

• 
$$Y_i = f(X_i), f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+bX \end{array}, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function lik<sub>Q<sub>R<sub>f</sub></sub> of the *p*-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F
  </sub>
- $lik_{Q_{R_f}}$  is a stepwise constant function with points of discontinuity at:  $0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon)\rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon)\rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$
- $C_f = [\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}]$ , values of  $\underline{k}, \overline{k} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  depend on  $n, p, \beta, \varepsilon$
- LIR result  $\mathcal{U} = \{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM} \}$ , where  $\overline{q}_{LRM} = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}$
- if there is a unique f with  $\overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})} = \overline{q}_{LRM}$ , it is optimal according to the LRM criterion and called  $f_{LRM}$ ; LRM means Likelihood-based Region Minimax

• 
$$((X_i, Y_i), V_i^*) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P, \ P \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} = \{P : P((X_i, Y_i) \notin V_i^*) \leq \varepsilon\}, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1]$$

• 
$$Y_i = f(X_i), \ f \in \mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_{a,b} : \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ X & \mapsto & a+b X \end{array}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- observations v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> induce (normalized) profile likelihood function lik<sub>Q<sub>R<sub>f</sub></sub> of the *p*-quantile of the distribution of R<sub>f</sub> for each f ∈ F
  </sub>
- $lik_{Q_{R_f}}$  is a stepwise constant function with points of discontinuity at:  $0 = \underline{r}_{f,(0)}, \dots, \underline{r}_{f,(\lceil n(p-\varepsilon)\rceil)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\lfloor n(p+\varepsilon)\rfloor+1)}, \dots, \overline{r}_{f,(n+1)} = +\infty$
- $C_f = [\underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)}, \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}]$ , values of  $\underline{k}, \overline{k} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  depend on  $n, p, \beta, \varepsilon$
- LIR result  $\mathcal{U} = \{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM} \}$ , where  $\overline{q}_{LRM} = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})}$
- if there is a unique f with  $\overline{r}_{f,(\overline{k})} = \overline{q}_{LRM}$ , it is optimal according to the LRM criterion and called  $f_{LRM}$ ; LRM means Likelihood-based Region Minimax
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). *Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression*. Int. J. Approx. Reasoning 53. 1137-1154.

 the presented method for linear LIR generalizes Least Quantile of Squares (LQS) regression to imprecise data and to accounting directly for statistical uncertainty

- the presented method for linear LIR generalizes Least Quantile of Squares (LQS) regression to imprecise data and to accounting directly for statistical uncertainty
- very robust due to nonparametric probability model and quantiles,

- the presented method for linear LIR generalizes Least Quantile of Squares (LQS) regression to imprecise data and to accounting directly for statistical uncertainty
- · very robust due to nonparametric probability model and quantiles,

breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n - \overline{k}\}}{n} \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \min\{p, 1 - p\} - \varepsilon$ 

- the presented method for linear LIR generalizes Least Quantile of Squares (LQS) regression to imprecise data and to accounting directly for statistical uncertainty
- very robust due to nonparametric probability model and quantiles, breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n - \overline{k}\}}{p} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1 - p\} - \varepsilon$
- exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

- the presented method for linear LIR generalizes Least Quantile of Squares (LQS) regression to imprecise data and to accounting directly for statistical uncertainty
- very robust due to nonparametric probability model and quantiles, breakdown-point  $\epsilon^* = \frac{\min\{\underline{k}, n - \overline{k}\}}{p} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \min\{p, 1 - p\} - \varepsilon$

• exact confidence level of  $C_f$ :

$$\inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}} P(\mathcal{C}_f \ni Q_{R_f}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{k=\underline{k}+1\\\overline{k}}}^{\overline{k}} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} & \varepsilon = 0\\ \sum_{\substack{k=\underline{k}+1\\\overline{k}}}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (p+\varepsilon)^k (1-(p+\varepsilon))^{n-k} & \varepsilon > 0, p \le 0.5\\ \sum_{\substack{k=\underline{k}+1\\\overline{k}}}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (p-\varepsilon)^k (1-(p-\varepsilon))^{n-k} & \varepsilon > 0, p > 0.5 \end{cases}$$

• aim: determine the set of undominated functions  $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}\}$ 

- aim: determine the set of undominated functions  $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}\}$
- 1st step: find  $\overline{q}_{LRM}$

- aim: determine the set of undominated functions  $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \underline{r}_{f,(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}\}$
- 1st step: find  $\overline{q}_{LRM}$
- $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  (blue dashed lines) is the thinnest band containing at least  $\overline{k}$  imprecise data

• here 
$$\beta = 0.8, p = 0.6, n = 17, and k = 12$$



• some of the included  $\overline{k}$  imprecise data touch the border of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  in 3 different points



- some of the included  $\overline{k}$ imprecise data touch the border of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$ in 3 different points
- *b<sub>LRM</sub>* can be any slope determined by the corresponding corner points of 2 imprecise data or 0



- some of the included  $\overline{k}$  imprecise data touch the border of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$  in 3 different points
- *b<sub>LRM</sub>* can be any slope determined by the corresponding corner points of 2 imprecise data or 0
- B: set of all 4 <sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> + 1 possible values for b<sub>LRM</sub>



- some of the included  $\overline{k}$ imprecise data touch the border of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$ in 3 different points
- *b<sub>LRM</sub>* can be any slope determined by the corresponding corner points of 2 imprecise data or 0
- B: set of all 4 <sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> + 1 possible values for b<sub>LRM</sub>
- for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  find  $a_b \in \mathbb{R}$  for which  $\overline{r}_{f_{a_b,b},(\overline{k})}$  is minimal



- some of the included  $\overline{k}$ imprecise data touch the border of  $\overline{B}_{f_{LRM},\overline{q}_{LRM}}$ in 3 different points
- *b<sub>LRM</sub>* can be any slope determined by the corresponding corner points of 2 imprecise data or 0
- B: set of all 4 <sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> + 1 possible values for b<sub>LRM</sub>
- for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  find  $a_b \in \mathbb{R}$  for which  $\overline{r}_{f_{a_b,b},(\overline{k})}$  is minimal
- $\overline{q}_{LRM} = \min_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{r}_{f_{a_b,b}}$



• step 2: determine  $\mathcal{U}$ 



- step 2: determine  $\mathcal{U}$
- if  $f \in U$ , then  $\overline{B}_{f,\overline{q}_{LRM}}$ intersects at least  $\underline{k} + 1$ imprecise data
- here <u>k</u> = 8



- step 2: determine  $\mathcal{U}$
- if  $f \in U$ , then  $\overline{B}_{f,\overline{q}_{LRM}}$ intersects at least  $\underline{k} + 1$ imprecise data
- here <u>k</u> = 8
- for each  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  find the of intercept values  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , for which  $\underline{r}_{f_{a,b},(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}$



- step 2: determine  $\mathcal{U}$
- if  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\overline{B}_{f,\overline{q}_{LRM}}$ intersects at least  $\underline{k} + 1$ imprecise data
- here <u>k</u> = 8
- for each  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  find the of intercept values  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , for which  $\underline{r}_{f_{a,b},(\underline{k}+1)} \leq \overline{q}_{LRM}$
- *U* can also be represented by the corresponding subset of the parameter space



#### Set of undominated parameters



Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

• the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

- the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

- the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). On the implementation of LIR: the case of simple linear regression with interval data. Technical Report 127. Department of Statistics. LMU Munich.

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

- the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). On the implementation of LIR: the case of simple linear regression with interval data. Technical Report 127. Department of Statistics. LMU Munich.

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

- the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). On the implementation of LIR: the case of simple linear regression with interval data. Technical Report 127. Department of Statistics. LMU Munich.

linLIR package

• linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression, available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

- the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). On the implementation of LIR: the case of simple linear regression with interval data. Technical Report 127. Department of Statistics. LMU Munich.

- linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression, available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/
- function to plot 2-dimensional interval data set

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

- the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). On the implementation of LIR: the case of simple linear regression with interval data. Technical Report 127. Department of Statistics. LMU Munich.

- linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression, available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/
- function to plot 2-dimensional interval data set
- s.linlir function implements the exact algorithm

Recapitulation: Exact algorithm for simple linear LIR with interval data

- the 1st part of the algorithm generalizes the exact algorithm for LQS regression
- the presented algorithm has computational complexity  $O(n^3 \log n)$
- further details in: M. Cattaneo, A. Wiencierz (2012). On the implementation of LIR: the case of simple linear regression with interval data. Technical Report 127. Department of Statistics. LMU Munich.

- linLIR: linear Likelihood-based Imprecise Regression, available at CRAN: http://cran.r-project.org/
- function to plot 2-dimensional interval data set
- s.linlir function implements the exact algorithm
- further tools to summarize and visualize results

• 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations



- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$



- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$
- $\underline{k} = 238$ ,  $\overline{k} = 276$



- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$
- $\underline{k} = 238$ ,  $\overline{k} = 276$
- obtained set of undominated functions





- 2-dimensional interval data set of *n* = 514 observations
- LIR analysis with  $p = 0.5, \beta = 0.26, \varepsilon = 0$
- $\underline{k} = 238$ ,  $\overline{k} = 276$
- obtained set of undominated functions
- obtained set of parameters

• the LIR approach provides a very robust regression method for imprecisely observed variables

- the LIR approach provides a very robust regression method for imprecisely observed variables
- the imprecise result of the LIR analysis is the set of all functions that are plausible relations of X and Y in the light of the imprecise observations

- the LIR approach provides a very robust regression method for imprecisely observed variables
- the imprecise result of the LIR analysis is the set of all functions that are plausible relations of X and Y in the light of the imprecise observations
- for the special case of simple linear regression with interval data, we developed an exact algorithm to determine the set of all undominated functions

- the LIR approach provides a very robust regression method for imprecisely observed variables
- the imprecise result of the LIR analysis is the set of all functions that are plausible relations of X and Y in the light of the imprecise observations
- for the special case of simple linear regression with interval data, we developed an exact algorithm to determine the set of all undominated functions
- the exact algorithm is implemented in R as part of the linLIR package

- the LIR approach provides a very robust regression method for imprecisely observed variables
- the imprecise result of the LIR analysis is the set of all functions that are plausible relations of X and Y in the light of the imprecise observations
- for the special case of simple linear regression with interval data, we developed an exact algorithm to determine the set of all undominated functions
- the exact algorithm is implemented in R as part of the linLIR package
- current / future work:

- the LIR approach provides a very robust regression method for imprecisely observed variables
- the imprecise result of the LIR analysis is the set of all functions that are plausible relations of X and Y in the light of the imprecise observations
- for the special case of simple linear regression with interval data, we developed an exact algorithm to determine the set of all undominated functions
- the exact algorithm is implemented in R as part of the linLIR package
- current / future work:
  - further investigate statistical properties, in particular the confidence level of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{U}}$

- the LIR approach provides a very robust regression method for imprecisely observed variables
- the imprecise result of the LIR analysis is the set of all functions that are plausible relations of X and Y in the light of the imprecise observations
- for the special case of simple linear regression with interval data, we developed an exact algorithm to determine the set of all undominated functions
- the exact algorithm is implemented in R as part of the linLIR package
- current / future work:
  - further investigate statistical properties, in particular the confidence level of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{U}}$
  - generalize algorithm to multiple linear regression